גירות must be done לשמה, i.e, with the desire of accepting the and joining כלל ישראל. Therefore, one cannot be מגייר for marriage or any other external reason.

Because of this, during certain periods in Jewish history, דוד המלך were not accepted. For example, during the reign of דוד, were not accepted due to a concern that their acceptance was based on fear (due to the strength of דוד's army). Similarly, during the days of שלמה המלך there was no גירות due to a concern that the גירות was based on a desire for prestige (as מלל ישראל at that time was considered to be the jewel of the world).

The בית חסנים notes that although technically בית דין הדיוטות that did accept that this time, there were בית דין הדיוטות that did accept בית דין הדיוטות. How was the status of these רמב"ם resolved? The רמב"ם writes that their status was in the air; if they saw after a period of time that these גרים were still keeping the מצות, it could be assumed that their גירות was legitimate, and they were considered to be Jews retroactive to their גירות (meaning, for example, if it was a woman who had a child during the waiting period, the child was considered to be Jewish).

Perhaps we can use this יסוד said by the רמב"ם to understand a difficulty in בועז מגילת רות as a גואל of the property of גואל an extension of this due to her being the wife of the cousin of the cousin of the cousin of this due to her being the גיורת as a רות to understand a cousin of the property.

then we know that a כקטן שנולד דמי is יברים. -clike a newborn, and any prior status--which in the case of ארות would include her marriage to רמב"ם negated. Using the יסוד of the מגייר we can say that ימחלון was initially מריות before she married, however, there were doubts regarding her גירות (as it appears it was done for marriage); therefore, her גירות had a probation period, and once she decided to follow גילוי that her initial גירות (at the time of her marriage) was good, and she fell under the category of things that could be redeemed by a גואל) גואל.